UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/667,334 | 09/23/2003 | Katsuhiko Sasaki | 243089US2 | 9287 |
| | 7590 03/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1 | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET | | | DAILEY, THOMAS J | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2152 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/19/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/667,334 | SASAKI, KATSUHIKO | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| THOMAS J. DAILEY | 2152 | | |

| | THOMAS J. DAILEY | 2152 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing op). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying ti | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | otou olamio. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | , | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 34-42</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | la afana an an Alaa alaka af filina a Nia | 41 6 A 1 | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended with additional language that would require further search and consideration from the examiner..